UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Glen Errol Clifton

(Plaintiff Name(s))

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT - VERSION 2

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation*, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint - Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. Plaintiff(s) GLEN CLIFTON

("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):

On behalf of [himself/herself];

	In representative capacity as the	, on behalf
	of the injured party, (Injured .	Party's Name)
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen Port Saint Lucie FL and claims damages as set fort	
	—OR—	
	Decedent died on (Month, Day, Year)	
	Decedent's death, Decedent was a resident and citizen	1 of (City, State)
If any party c	claims loss of consortium,	
3.	("Consortium Plaintiff") alleges da	mages for loss of
	consortium.	
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Cons	sortium Plaintiff is a
	citizen and resident of (City, State)	·
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff re	esided in (City, State)
	·	

B. DEFENDANT(S)

- 6. Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:
 - a. Brand-Name Manufacturers:

Pfizer Inc.; Boehringer Ingelheim USA Corporation; GlaxoSmithKline LLC; GlaxoSmithKline (America) Inc.; Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH; Patheon Manufacturing Service LLC; Boehringer Ingelheim Promeco, S.A. de C.V.; Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation; GlaxoSmithKline PLC

b.	Generic Manufacturers:
	Distributors and Danaska sam
c.	Distributors and Repackager:
d.	Retailers:
e.	Others Not Named in the AMPIC:
	Does 1-100

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7.	Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:			
	Southern District of FL			
8.	Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.			
	II. PRODUCT USE			
9.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitid	ine: [Check all that apply]		
	By prescription			
	Over the counter			
10.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ra	nitidine from approximately		
	(month, year) Jan 1983 to Dec 2012	<u></u>		
III. PHYSICAL INJURY				
11.	As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medicat was diagnosed with the following specific type of car			
heck all that apply	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of Diagnosis		
	BLADDER CANCER			
	BREAST CANCER			
	COLORECTAL/INTESTINAL CANCER			
	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER			

Check all that apply	Cancer Type	Apj	proxima Diag	ate Date of nosis
X	GASTRIC CANCER	Nov	3	2016
	KIDNEY CANCER			
	LIVER CANCER			
	LUNG CANCER			
	PANCREATIC CANCER			
	PROSTATE CANCER			
	OTHER CANCER:			
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)			

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s)

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):¹

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
X	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
X	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, and WA
X	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
X	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
X	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA
X	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
X	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
X	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX
X	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories
X	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories
X	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
X	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
		Other	

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):

V. JURY DEMAND

14. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

Attorney 1 Signature: /s/ Brett A. Emison	Attorney 1 Signature:
Attorney 1 Print: Brett A. Emison	Attorney 1 Print:
Attorney 2 Signature:	Attorney 2 Signature:
Attorney 2 Print:	Attorney 2 Print:
Firm: Langdon & Emison, LLC	Firm:
Address 1: 911 Main Street	Address 1:
Address 2:	Address 2:
City: P.O. Box 220	City:
State: MO	State:
Zip: 64067	Zip:
Email: brett@lelaw.com	Email:
Phone: 660-259-7199	Phone: